Pape 1Gre VE C3 Case 1:98-cr-01023-LAK Document 2228 Filed 09/20/23 MEMO ENDORSED DOCUMENT SEP 19 2023 ELECTRONICALLY FILED In TheUS Datnet Court Sept 7, 23 JUDGE KAPLAN'S CHAMBERS DOC #: DATE FILED: 9/19/ Por Dist of SDNY Court ELECTROPICABLY FILED SALIM Case x 98 - Cr. 1023 (LAK DATE FILED 9-20-23 Deft's ProSe Motion Requesting a Clarification OR, As An Alternative, Notice of Appeal a 2nd Coram Nobis Motion to this Court. Here by, Deft is certifying under the penalty of purjury that he never received any response neither from Govt. (AUSA-SDNY) Office nor from this court. This fact can be also verified, if Court so orders, by the SIS technician of ADX Prison (MR. Keller). In this mini nuotion per Deft SALIM is Trequesting from this Court a clarification to what is (or was) the outcome of his 2nd Coram Nobis Motion? And, if no any ruling was issued for it yet, then how time. Deft has to wait before filing any motion else? However, if the Deft's motion of coram nobis
was denied, then, Obviously the Clerk of Court
forgot to send a copy of the Court Order to Deft.
And in such a case, Deft hereby is filing a Notice
of Appeal for his 2nd Coram Nobis.

Respectfully Subm.

Case 1:98-cr-01023-LAK Document 2228 Filed 09/20/23 Page 2 of 3 Certificate Of Service Sept 7, 2012 Profe Deft of this case & 98-Cr\_1023 (LAK)
Certofying that Eunder the penalty of perjury)
On Sept 7, 2023 I sent a copy of this mini Motion to Dust Sony Office One Saint Andrews Plaza NewYork, NY 10007 Sept 7, 2023

## Memorandum Endorsement

United States v Mamdouh Mahmud Salim, 98-cr-1023 (LAK)

Defendant's first *coram nobis* petition was docketed on January 31, 2023 and denied on February 21, 2023. His second *coram nobis* petition was docketed on August 11, 2023, denied on August 14, 2023, and the order denying the petition mailed to him on September 19, 2023.

He now seeks clarification of whether the second petition was decided and, if so, of the decision. This latest request also was docketed on September 19, 2023. He no doubt received the order denying petition after this request was filed.

As the clarification defendant seeks already has been mailed to him, that leaves only the alternative request that this request be treated as a notice of appeal.

In the circumstances, the Clerk shall docket this latest filing (to which this endorsement is attached) as a notice of appeal.

The Court hereby certifies that the appeal from the denial of defendant's second coram nobis petition has not been taken in good faith within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §1915(a)(3).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

September 20, 2023

Lewis A. Kaplan

United States District Judge